



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/500,897	02/09/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	SEL 161	3195
7590 04/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Mark J Murphy			MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
Cook Alex Mcf	arron Manzo Cummings	& Mehler LTD		
200 West Adams Street Suite 2850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			2612	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/500,897	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Justin P Misleh	2612			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 (October 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
5)	Claim(s) 1 - 16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 - 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intèrview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/500,897

Art Unit: 2612

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 2. In view of Applicant's previous amendments (28 November 2003 and 26 April 2004), there are no further objections to the specification and the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahara (US
 6 219 113 B1).
- 5. For Claim 1, Takahara discloses, as shown in figures 2a, 2b, 11, 12, 64 69, 96 105, and 218 225 and as stated in columns 3 (lines 33 41), 4 (lines 1 14), 54 57, 122, and 124, a view finder (see figures 218 225) comprising:
 - a substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a);
- an LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b) formed on the substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a); and

a lens (microlens 641) formed on the LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b), wherein the lens (microlens 641) has a spherical surface to which the LCD display element emits a light (see figures 65, 66a, 97, 102, and 111).

While Takahara discloses the viewfinder (see figures 218 – 225) with an LCD display panel (22), Takahara also discloses, as stated in columns 23 (lines 23 – 33), 30 (lines 51 – 65), 58 (lines 37 – 42), 100 (lines 44 – 58), 126 (line 60) – 127 (line 4), and 130 (lines 17 – 34), that the LCD display may be replaced by an organic EL display element.

6. For Claim 5, Takahara discloses, as shown in figures 2a, 2b, 11, 12, 64 – 69, 96 – 105, and 218 – 225 and as stated in columns 3 (lines 33 – 41), 4 (lines 1 – 14), 54 – 57, 122, and 124, a view finder (see figures 218 – 225) comprising:

a substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a);

an LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b) formed on the substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a); and

a lens (microlens 641) formed on the LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b), wherein the lens (microlens 641) has a spherical surface to which the LCD display element emits a light (see figures 65, 66a, 97, 102, and 111), and

wherein the lens (microlens 641) magnifies an image of an object displayed on the LCD display element (see below for explanation).

While Takahara discloses the viewfinder (see figures 218 – 225) with an LCD display panel (22), Takahara also discloses, as stated in columns 23 (lines 23 – 33), 30 (lines 51 – 65), 58 (lines 37 – 42), 100 (lines 44 – 58), 126 (line 60) – 127 (line 4), and 130 (lines 17 – 34), that the LCD display may be replaced by an organic EL display element.

Furthermore, as stated in column 56 (lines 6-35), Takahara discloses adjusting focal distance of the microlens (641) according to various manufacturing techniques. The focal distance of the microlens (641) establishes the display area of the display – the shorter the focal distance, the larger the display area. The magnification factor of the image of an object can be found by dividing the actual focal distance of the microlens (641) by a focal distance of a standard microlens.

7. For Claim 9, Takahara discloses, as shown in figures 2a, 2b, 11, 12, 64 – 69, 96 – 105, and 218 – 225 and as stated in columns 3 (lines 33 – 41), 4 (lines 1 – 14), 54 – 57, 122, and 124, a view finder (see figures 218 – 225) comprising:

a substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a);

an LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b) formed on the substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a); and

a lens (microlens 641) formed on the LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b), wherein the lens (microlens 641) has a spherical surface to which the LCD display element emits a light (see figures 65, 66a, 97, 102, and 111), and

wherein the lens (microlens 641) magnifies an image of an object displayed on the LCD display element (see below for explanation) and projects it upon an of a user (see column 123, lines 1-16).

While Takahara discloses the viewfinder (see figures 218 - 225) with an LCD display panel (22), Takahara also discloses, as stated in columns 23 (lines 23 - 33), 30 (lines 51 - 65), 58 (lines 37 - 42), 100 (lines 44 - 58), 126 (line 60) – 127 (line 4), and 130 (lines 17 - 34), that the LCD display may be replaced by an organic EL display element.

Furthermore, as stated in column 56 (lines 6-35), Takahara discloses adjusting focal distance of the microlens (641) according to various manufacturing techniques. The focal distance of the microlens (641) establishes the display area of the display – the shorter the focal distance, the larger the display area. The magnification factor of the image of an object can be found by dividing the actual focal distance of the microlens (641) by a focal distance of a standard microlens.

8. For Claim 13, Takahara discloses, as shown in figures 2a, 2b, 11, 12, 64 – 69, 96 – 105, and 218 – 225 and as stated in columns 3 (lines 33 – 41), 4 (lines 1 – 14), 54 – 57, 122, and 124, a view finder (see figures 218 – 225) comprising:

a substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a);

an LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b) formed on the substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a); and

a lens (microlens 641) formed on the LCD display element (21 and 22 from figures 2a and 2b), wherein the lens (microlens 641) has a spherical surface to which the LCD display element emits a light (see figures 65, 66a, 97, 102, and 111).

While Takahara discloses the viewfinder (see figures 218 – 225) with an LCD display panel (22), Takahara also discloses, as stated in columns 23 (lines 23 – 33), 30 (lines 51 – 65), 58 (lines 37 – 42), 100 (lines 44 – 58), 126 (line 60) – 127 (line 4), and 130 (lines 17 – 34), that the LCD display may be replaced by an inorganic EL display element.

9. As for Claims 2, 6, 10, and 14, Takahara discloses, as shown in figures 45 wherein said organic EL display element comprises plural thin film transistors $(T_{11} - T_{33})$ formed over the substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a).

- 10. As for Claims 3, 7, 11, and 15, Takahara discloses, as shown in figures 54, 55, 119, and 124, wherein said organic EL display element comprises a pixel portion $(T_{11} T_{33})$ and a driver circuit (491 and 492) formed over the substrate (11 and 12 from figures 2a and 2b and 642 from figure 66a).
- 11. As for **Claims 4, 7, 12, and 16**, Takahara discloses, as stated in column 122 (lines 37 43), said viewfinder is incorporated into a camera selected from the group consisting of a video camera and a digital camera.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The

Application/Control Number: 09/500,897

Art Unit: 2612

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM and on alternating Fridays from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on 703.305.4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM March 25, 2005

Page 7